

New English Language Arts Rubrics

The 2013 Grade 5 Common Core English Language Arts Test will be scored using new rubrics. Both the English Language Arts 2-point and 4-point Rubrics have changed to reflect the new demands called for by the CCLS.

Short-Response (2-Point) Holistic Rubric

Short-response questions will ask students to make a claim, take a position, or draw a conclusion, and then support it with details. This structure forms the foundation of the CCLS. As such, the 2-point rubric focuses on both the inference and evidence a student provides. This structure allows students to have wide latitude in responding to each prompt so long as their response is supported by the text.

Additionally, the expectation for all short responses will be complete, coherent sentences. By weaving these elements together the questions, responses, and scores remain firmly focused on student reading ability.

2-Point Rubric—Short-Response

Score	Response Features
2 Point	<p>The features of a 2-point response are</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Valid inferences and/or claims from the text where required by the prompt• Evidence of analysis of the text where required by the prompt• Relevant facts, definitions, concrete details, and/or other information from the text to develop response according to the requirements of the prompt• Sufficient number of facts, definitions, concrete details, and/or other information from the text as required by the prompt• Complete sentences where errors do not impact readability
1 Point	<p>The features of a 1-point response are</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• A mostly literal recounting of events or details from the text as required by the prompt• Some relevant facts, definitions, concrete details, and/or other information from the text to develop response according to the requirements of the prompt• Incomplete sentences or bullets
0 Point	<p>The features of a 0-point response are</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• A response that does not address any of the requirements of the prompt or is totally inaccurate• No response (blank answer)• A response that is not written in English• A response that is unintelligible or indecipherable

- If the prompt requires two texts and the student only references one text, the response can be scored no higher than a 1.

Extended-Response (4-Point) Holistic Rubric

Within the Common Core, writing does not take place in a vacuum. To be college and career ready, one must be able to write for a purpose using information from textual sources. Extended-response questions on the 2013 Common Core English Language Arts Tests will ask students to analyze texts and address meaningful questions using strategic, textual details. Scores for extended responses will be based on four overarching criteria:

- **Content and Analysis**—the extent to which the essay conveys complex ideas and information clearly and accurately in order to support claims in an analysis of topics or texts
- **Command of Evidence**—the extent to which the essay presents evidence from the provided texts to support analysis and reflection
- **Coherence, Organization, and Style**—the extent to which the essay logically organizes complex ideas, concepts, and information using formal style and precise language
- **Control of Conventions**—the extent to which the essay demonstrates command of the conventions of standard English grammar, usage, capitalization, punctuation, and spelling

These four characteristics combined make up the focus of the 4-point, extended-response tasks, *Writing from Sources*. Whether in response to an individual text or a paired selection, a student will be asked to synthesize, evaluate, and evidence their thinking in a coherent and legible manner. Please note the new holistic 4-point rubric for Expository Writing in Grade 4–5 on page 14.

New York State Grade 4-5 Expository Writing Evaluation Rubric

CRITERIA	CCLS	ESSAYS AT THIS LEVEL:			ESSAYS AT THIS LEVEL:	ESSAYS AT THIS LEVEL:	ESSAYS AT THIS LEVEL:	SCORE
		4	3	2				0
CONTENT AND ANALYSIS: the extent to which the essay conveys ideas and information clearly and accurately in order to support an analysis of topics or texts	W.2 R.1-9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> —clearly introduce a topic in a manner that follows logically from the task and purpose —demonstrate insightful comprehension and analysis of the text(s) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> —clearly introduce a topic in a manner that follows generally from the task and purpose —demonstrate grade-appropriate comprehension and analysis of the text(s) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> —introduce a topic in a manner that does not logically follow from the task and purpose —demonstrate little understanding of the text(s) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> —introduce a topic in a manner that does not logically follow from the task and purpose —demonstrate a literal comprehension of the text(s) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> —introduce a topic in a manner that follows generally from the task and purpose —demonstrate an attempt to use evidence, but only develop ideas with minimal, occasional evidence which is generally invalid or irrelevant 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> —provide no evidence or provide evidence that is completely irrelevant 	—demonstrate a lack of comprehension of the text(s) or task
COMMAND OF EVIDENCE: the extent to which the essay presents evidence from the provided texts to support analysis and reflection	W.2 W.9 R.1-9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> —develop the topic with relevant, well-chosen facts, definitions, concrete details, quotations, or other information and examples from the text(s) —sustain the use of varied, relevant evidence 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> —develop the topic with relevant facts, definitions, details, quotations, or other information and examples from the text(s) —sustain the use of relevant evidence, with some lack of variety 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> —use relevant evidence inconsistently 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> —partially develop the topic of the essay with the use of some textual evidence, some of which may be irrelevant 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> —partially develop the topic of the essay with the use of some textual evidence, some of which may be irrelevant —use relevant evidence inconsistently 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> —provide no evidence or provide evidence that is completely irrelevant 	—demonstrate an attempt to use evidence, but only develop ideas with minimal, occasional evidence which is generally invalid or irrelevant
COHERENCE, ORGANIZATION, AND STYLE: the extent to which the essay logically organizes complex ideas, concepts, and information using formal style and precise language	W.2 L.3 L.6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> —exhibit clear, purposeful organization 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> —exhibit clear organization 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> —exhibit some attempt at organization 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> —exhibit little attempt at organization, or attempts to organize are irrelevant to the task 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> —exhibit no evidence of organization 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> —exhibit no use of linking words and phrases 	—demonstrate a lack of organization
CONTROL OF CONVENTIONS: the extent to which the essay demonstrates command of the conventions of standard English grammar, usage, capitalization, punctuation, and spelling	W.2 L.1 L.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> —demonstrate grade-appropriate command of conventions, with few errors 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> —provide a concluding statement that follows clearly from the topic and information presented 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> —provide a concluding statement that follows from the topic and information presented 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> —provide a concluding statement that follows generally from the topic and information presented 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> —do not provide a concluding statement 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> —provide a concluding statement that is illogical or unrelated to the topic and information presented 	—demonstrate a lack of command of conventions, with frequent errors that hinder comprehension
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> —demonstrate emerging command of conventions, with some errors that may hinder comprehension 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> —demonstrate a lack of command of conventions, with frequent errors that hinder comprehension 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> —are minimal, making assessment of conventions unreliable 	—are minimal, making assessment of conventions unreliable

- If the prompt requires two texts and the student only references one text, the response can be scored no higher than a 2.
- If the student writes only a personal response and makes no reference to the text(s), the response can be scored no higher than a 1.
- Responses totally unrelated to the topic, illegible, incoherent, or blank should be given a 0.
- A response totally copied from the text(s) with no original student writing should be scored a 0.